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It's hard to overlook the awkwardness of Charles Garabedian's exhibition at Betty Cunningham Gallery. Crams and winks in the canvas, ill-framed images, and inarticulate brushwork are all evident. Garabedian is not an accomplished painter. Neither, it appear, does he desire to be. That's not what is at stake in his work. What is at stake is the plausibility of his personal symbolism. Goddes, gods, and beasts drawn from the annals of mythology and the arti's own repertoire populate his paintings. The work trades on the con-voyance of meaning in these figures, meaning which is a pure product of the arti's intent. So the question is, is he in earnest?

"The Spring for which I Longed" offers one answer. It is mammoth, of a size comparable with the largest New York school paintings. In it, Garabedian see stretched a bit thin. In a how with no horcage of rough-hewn paintings, it is perhaps the most crulely painted. It does not lack vitality of invention. At its upper center, the seething earth reaches high to gra at the orb of the moon. Musical notes and animals are discernable amid the wave. From the chalky, cobalt ma sire a promon-tory in the shape of a man's featureless face. Its mute presence on the horizon overshadows event on hore in the paintings foreground. Ther, reijcs of all sorts are trewn and godde woon. One, perhaps an Anemone with her Poseidon, is caught by a mas of on ters and ea-weed. A second godld prone, dropping from view at the painting' lo wer border. The whole feel smashed together. With Garabedian, contour don't breathe and form, ped on, threaten to fly away.

For all of its hortcomings, it's hard not to be impressed with "The Spring for which I Longed," if only for its sheer abando. At this cal, with his painting, Garabedian fearlessly courses disaster. He does so seemingly without intmding "bad painting," the kind of painting which self-consciously makes a virtue of its own shoddiness. In that sense, Garabedian's exuberance is reminiscent of expressionists such as Francesco Clemente. In short, before this painting, one reaches the conclusion that the arti is, in fact, in earnest.

That decided, how does one proceed? In other words, once you've established that a personal symbolism is meaningful, that its figures and narratives function symbolically and that the painting of the figures itself is not the issue, how do you proceed with a critique? It is only fitting that the artist set the terms for the critique and, fortunately, Garabedian does so in a smaller painting entitled "Garden." Less explicable in terms of symbolism and more successful in terms of painting, it is certainly not a garden of earthly delights. In it, disembodied forms float freely and an upended body is decapitated by the image's lower boundary. These qualities of disjunction and inversion call to mind another contemporary expressionist, Georg Baselitz, and open a crack in Garabedian's exhibition. Baselitz is relentless and sophisticated in finding ways to upset his imagery, from frac­-turing his figures to painting them in bloody earth tones to not finishing them at all to hanging them upside down. With the exception of "Garden," Garabedian's work seems less self-conscious. Therein lies its charm. In introducing a painting as sophisticated as "Garden," the artist upsets the naive directness that threads his show together. Although it proves disorienting here, the move might prove fruitful for future exhibitions.
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